

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/785,681	10/785,681 02/24/2004		Joseph G. Montalto	SER 07595.105003 CON1	8382	
20786	7590	10/19/2005		EXAMINER		
KING & SP	•		CARLSON, KAREN C			
191 PEACH 45TH FLOO		EEI, N.E.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ATLANTA,	GA 3030	3-1763	1653			

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
,		10/785,6	31	MONTALTO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit				
		Karen Co	chrane Carlson, Ph.D.	1653				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
WHI(- Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuser to reply within the set or extended period for reply wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply wireply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nication. story period will apply and w ill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror lication to become ABANDON	N. mely filed nthe mailing date of this (ED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□		o)⊠ This action is r	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 34-60 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 34-60 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		-					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority, (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority definition of the priority definition.			a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have bee	n received in Applica	tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	· · · · · ·		ed in this Nationa	l Stage			
	application from the Internation	•	• • • •					
- (See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen	, ,		 .					
1) Notice Notice Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	O-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Pier No(s)/Mail Date 7/19/04; 12/23/04.		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		⁻ O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/785,681

Art Unit: 1653

Claims 1-33 have been cancelled. Claims 34-60 are currently pending and are under examination.

Priority is to May 8, 2001.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 34-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 and 26-41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,743,899. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims are mostly identical except that in '899 the process is to inactivate prions in a purified lipoprotein material extracted from the blood, plasma, or serum of a prion infected animal, and the instant claims are drawn to a process for producing a cell growth media component containing lipoprotein material in which a transmissible spongiform encephalopathy agent is present. Prions are known to cause transmissible spongiform encephalogy. See also pages 3-4 of the specification. Thus, the methods are obvious one over the other because the methods steps to inactivate the prion in a lipoprotein material, whether as a component of cell growth media or in general as set forth in '899.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

MPEP 608.01(m) Form of Claims

Application/Control Number: 10/785,681

Art Unit: 1653

The claim or claims must commence on a separate sheet and should appear after the detailed description of the invention. While there is no set statutory form for claims, the present Office practice is to insist that each claim must be the object of a sentence starting with "I (or we) claim", "The invention claimed is" (or the equivalent). If, at the time of allowance, the quoted terminology is not present, it is inserted by the clerk. Each claim begins with a capital letter and ends with a period. Periods may not be used elsewhere in the claims except for abbreviations. See Fressola v. Manbeck, 36 USPQ2d 1211 (D.D.C. 1995). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation, 37 CFR1.75(i).

37 CFR § 1.75 Claim(s).

- (a) The specification must conclude with a claim particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention or discovery.
- (i) Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation.

Claim 34 provides for the use of lipoprotein material, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example Ex parte Dunki, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and Clinical Products, Ltd. v. Brenner, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Applicants may wish to review the language of USP 6,743,899.

Art of Record

GIRGIS et al. (USP 4,762,792) teach a process for treating purified lipoproteins but does not address the issue of using prion infected blood products to acquire the purified lipoprotein.

A thorough search of the art shows that it is well-known that prion proteins are inactivated at 1N sodium hydroxide. For example, EP 0 742 018 A2 points out that WHO teach to treat tissues derived from bovine tissues contaminated with spongiform encephalopathies with

Application/Control Number: 10/785,681

Art Unit: 1653

Page 4

1M NaOH (molarity is equivelent to normality in this instance). Further, perusal of the internet has provided guidelines for prion research in which it is taught that decontamination of prion material is at 1N NaOH. See the University of California at San Diego (ucsd.edu) website for the Prion Fact Sheet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0946. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM, off alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

aren Carbon Carbon Car